

VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY

Background

- Guilt is consistently identified as an important correlate of parental feeding behaviors.
- The literature is mixed regarding whether guilt in the domain of health behavior is adaptive or maladaptive among parents.^{1,2,3}
- It is likely that these mixed findings are partially attributable to the lack of a validated self-report measure to assess parental guilt about child feeding.⁴

Aims

- The goal of this study was to develop and validate the Guilt About Child Feeding (GACF) Scale.
- The GACF is intended for use among parents of 3-13 year-old children.

Development

Item Generation

- We created an 18-item pool of child feeding scenarios based on (1) experiences cited by parents in qualitative studies and (2) a focusgroup style discussion with local parents.
- Responses indicative of guilt (affective, e.g., feeling regret or remorse; and behavioral, e.g., wanting to fix things or do better in the future) were then created.

Content Validity

- Six domain experts rated the quality of the preliminary items from 1-7 and left openended feedback.
- Items with negative feedback were revised or removed; new items were created based on experts' recommendations.

Item Refinement

- 118 parents of 3-13 year-olds responded to each of the 18 items in the pool, then rated the item in terms of relevance to his/her own life.
- Four items were removed at this stage; all displayed serious floor effects and low relevance ratings. Five items were reworded based on open-ended feedback.

Item Response Theory Analyses Sample

Model & Item Characteristics

10 -

0.8 0.6

Development and validation of the Guilt About Child Feeding Scale

Haley E. Yaremych^{1,2} & Susan Persky²

¹Department of Psychology & Human Development, Vanderbilt University; ²Social & Behavioral Research Branch, National Human Genome Research Institute

• 513 parents (306 mothers; 207 fathers) with a child aged 3-13 were recruited through Amazon mTurk

• 73.1% of parents were married; 49.1% had a college degree; 79.5% were White; 41.9% self-identified as about the right weight whereas 53.8% selfidentified as overweight; average parent age was 36.44 years

• 52% of children were female; 10.3% of parents identified their child as overweight; average age was 8.48 years

Exploratory Factor Analysis

Eigenvalues (Factor 1 = 8.418, Factor 2 = 1.118) indicated the presence of one dominant underlying factor; thus, unidimensional IRT was warranted

• The Graded Response Model (GRM) yielded significantly better model fit than Rasch-family models.

• The GACF item discriminations ranged from 1.258 to 3.146, which are medium to large values.

Item thresholds (locations) displayed good separation and increased monotonically, indicating that the GACF items and response set behaved well.



Table 1. Item parameter estimates from the GRM.							
Item	α (SE)	δ_1 (SE)	δ_2 (SE)	δ_3 (SE)	δ_4 (SE)		
1	2.048 (0.154)	-4.233 (0.281)	-1.001 (0.149)	0.825 (0.149)	3.643 (0.242)		
2	2.358 (0.173)	-4.153 (0.279)	-0.535 (0.158)	1.359 (0.170)	4.081 (0.273)		
3	1.853 (0.140)	-2.575 (0.184)	-0.476 (0.137)	1.299 (0.149)	3.248 (0.214)		
4	1.684 (0.139)	-0.827 (0.134)	0.837 (0.134)	2.138 (0.165)	3.875 (0.256)		
5	2.084 (0.164)	-0.459 (0.146)	1.398 (0.161)	2.938 (0.211)	4.843 (0.329)		
6	2.165 (0.158)	-3.312 (0.225)	-0.811 (0.153)	0.739 (0.152)	3.060 (0.214)		
7	2.232 (0.163)	-2.240 (0.186)	-0.100 (0.151)	1.378 (0.164)	3.533 (0.236)		
8	2.821 (0.206)	-4.043 (0.287)	-0.219 (0.177)	1.566 (0.194)	4.279 (0.296)		
9	2.215 (0.166)	-1.409 (0.164)	0.475 (0.151)	2.008 (0.179)	4.190 (0.279)		
10	3.146 (0.229)	-4.799 (0.339)	-0.919 (0.198)	1.379 (0.205)	4.663 (0.329)		
11	1.802 (0.139)	-2.400 (0.176)	-0.556 (0.135)	0.806 (0.138)	2.618 (0.185)		
12	1.258 (0.114)	-1.257 (0.126)	-0.068 (0.113)	1.049 (0.123)	2.837 (0.185)		
13	2.488 (0.179)	-3.329 (0.237)	-0.498 (0.164)	1.39 (0.176)	3.894 (0.263)		
14	2.238 (0.164)	-3.346 (0.229)	-0.717 (0.155)	0.766 (0.156)	3.191 (0.222)		
α = item discrimination; δ_1 = first item threshold; δ_2 = second item threshold; etc.							



		fue	460	
ameter	estimates	Trom	the	GRIVI.



National Human Genome Research Institute

Construct Validity

Differential item functioning (DIF) was not detected across parent gender, parent weight status, or parent education, indicating that the GACF behaves comparably across parent demographic groups.

The GACF was positively correlated with global shame proneness, depressive symptoms, and child food fussiness. Negative correlations arose with global selfesteem and healthy feeding practices.

Table 2. Correlations among the GACF and relevant covariates. Coveriate

Covariate	r		
Global guilt and shame proneness			
GASP-Guilt (Negative behavior evaluation)	0.035		
GASP-Guilt (Repair)	0.027		
GASP-Shame (Negative self evaluation)	0.147**		
GASP-Shame (Withdrawal)	0.336**		
TOSCA-Guilt	-0.027		
TOSCA-Shame	0.254**		
Theoretically relevant covariates			
Depressive symptoms	0.372**		
Global self-esteem	-0.202**		
Face-valid guilt items			
Guilt about child eating habits	0.661**		
Guilt about child physical activity habits	0.405**		
Guilt about home environment	0.544**		
Perceived child feeding practices			
Healthy food modeling	-0.137**		
Food environment	0.067		
Healthfulness of child diet	-0.411**		
Child fruit/veg intake	-0.316**		
Child feeding responsibility	0.062		
Perceived child eating behavior and weight			
Food fussiness	0.212**		
Concern about child weight	0.390**		

Conclusions

The 14-item GACF behaves well psychometrically among parents of 3-13 year-olds, regardless of parent demographics, and is suitable for use in this population.

Correlations provide further evidence that parental feedingrelated guilt is a fundamentally maladaptive emotion.

Table 3. Selected items from the final 14-item GACF.

Item Content

- When I think about the foods I usually keep in my home, I feel like I should be doing better.
- When I think about the types of foods I usually let my child order when we eat out, I feel regretful.
- When I think about the times I've fed my child unhealthy 13
- processed foods, I feel like I want to go back and fix my behavior. Response set: 1=not at all true, 2=somewhat true, ..., 5=extremely true

References

Psychology Review, 30(5), 536–546.

Harris, H. A., Jansen, E., & Rossi, T. (2020). 'It's not worth the fight': Fathers' perceptions of family mealtime interactions, feeding practices and child eating behaviours. Appetite, 150 Pescud, M., & Pettigrew, S. (2014). 'I know it's wrong, but...': a qualitative investigation of low- income parents' feelings of guilt about their child- feeding practices. Maternal & Child Nutrition, 10(3), 422–435 Persky, S., McBride, C. M., Faith, M. S., Wagner, L. K., & Ward, D. S. (2015). Mothers' guilt responses to children's obesity risk feedback. Journal of Health Psychology, 20(5), 649–658. Tilghman-Osborne, C., Cole, D. A., & Felton, J. W. (2010). Definition and measurement of guilt: Implications for clinical research and practice. *Clinical*